



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/657,812 | 09/08/2003 | Methvin Isaac | 317743-122 | 1149 |
| 25561 | 7590 11/18/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| JOHN W. RYAN | | | O'SULLIVAN, PETER G | |
| C/O DECHERT LLP PRINCETON PIKE CORPORATION CENTER | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| P.O. BOX 5218 | | | 1621 | |
| PRINCETON, NJ 08543-5218 | | | DATE MAILED: 11/18/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | _ |
|--|---|---|---|
| | 10/657,812 | ISAAC ET AL. | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | _ |
| | Peter G. O'Sullivan | 1621 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | _ |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | |
| Status | | | |
| 1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a)☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☒ This 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the practice of the practice | action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,22,23 and 26 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 4-21,24,25,27 and 28 is/are objected 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accomplication may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine | wn from consideration. to. r election requirement. r. epted or b) objected to by the l drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objected. | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | on No ed in this National Stage | |
| Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/657,812

Art Unit: 1621

Claims 1-28 are pending in this application which should be reviewed for errors.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 22, 23 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dash et al., Chem. Abst. 92:181065, who disclose 2-[[(1-naphthylenylamino) thioxomethyl]amino]-N-(4-phenyl-2-thiazolyl)-acetamide.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-3, 22, 23 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dash et al., Chem. Abst. 92:181065. Dash et al. disclose

Application/Control Number: 10/657,812

Art Unit: 1621

compouinds of formula I be useful in inhibiting helminthosporium sativum growth. R may be H, Me, Cl, or OMe. The instant invention differs from the teaching of the cited reference in that not all compounds are actually made in the reference. It would have been prima facie obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to make further generically disclosed compounds of Dash et al. especially in view of the anticipating compound noted above, and to expect them to be useful in inhibiting helminthosporium sativum growth.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-28 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over the claims of copending Application No. 10/657,815. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they generically overlap.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Application/Control Number: 10/657,812 Page 4

Art Unit: 1621

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Peter G.

O'Sullivan at telephone number (571)272-0642.

PETER O'SULLIVAN PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200